



Sveučilište u
Zagrebu



foi

<http://taloe.innovate4future.eu/>


Structuring peer assessment and its evaluation by learning analytics

Professor Blaženka Divjak

Faculty of Organization and Informatics

University of Zagreb

bdivjak@foi.hr



Peer assessment

Advantages:

- (1) *Logistical* - saves teachers time
- (2) *Pedagogical* - additional opportunity for students to deepen their understanding about a topic **deeper learning, student active learner**
- (3) *Metacognitive* - demystify testing and students become more aware of their own strengths, progress and gaps in knowledge and skills – **increase student autonomy; better understanding of own subjectivity and judgement**
- (4) *Affective* - make students more productive and cooperative, build greater sense of shared ownership for the learning process - **increase responsibility**

▪ Source: D. J. Nicol and D. Macfarlane-Dick,



Disadvantages:

- (1) *Logistical* - additional briefing time – **plan extra time**
- (2) *Reliability* - risk with respect to reliability, students assessing their peers – **anonymized tasks, LA check**
- (3) *Equalizing* - tendency to award everyone the same mark – **LA check on patterns**
- (4) *Metacognition* - not all students are well quipped to undertake the assessment – **LA analysis on reliability, start with low stake tasks**

Source: B. Divjak, M. Maretić, 2015

- “Learning analytics is the measurement, collection, analysis and reporting of data about learners and their contexts, for the purposes of understanding and optimising, learning and the environment in which it occurs”. Source: Ferguson, 2012
- Learning Analytics as a midterm trend in education on a 3–5 year horizon Source: 2015 edition of the Horizon report
- Based on Embedded Assessment
 - learning analytics are used in order to interpret data about students’ learning, assess their academic progress, predict future performance and personalize educational process.
 - Source: C. Redecker and Ø. Johannessen, 2013
- Assessment learning analytics neglected so far
 - Source: Ellis, 2013
- LA is all about learning Source: Gašević & Dawson, 2015

- The course **Project Management**
 - Master Level of Entrepreneurship Study Programme
 - Action research during three years period
 - 131 students were enrolled in 3 years
 - First two years all students' tasks were assessed only by teachers based on scoring rubrics
 - Third year (2014/2015) – peer-assessment and self-assessment based on the same scoring rubrics
- **Research questions:**
 1. How to prepare **peer assessment** to be **reliable and valid** and at the same time enhance mutual learning?
 2. What is student perception about peer assessment, assessment standards and criteria and mutual learning activity?
 3. Is **deeper learning** encouraged by peer assessment?

Constructive alignment

Study program learning outcomes (LOs) - relevant for the course	Course specific LOs related to the study programme LOs	Teaching and learning method	Assessment method	Student workload - ECTS credits
Apply concepts and methods of project management... Explain and critically evaluate project cycles as well as project success criteria	Write project application Analyse and evaluate project success criteria	Students work individually on essay writing and peer-evaluation	Teacher assessment, self-assessment and peer assessment based on prepared criteria and scoring rubrics	15-20 h = 0.6 ECTS (approx. 15% of the course 4 ECTS)
	Present project to professional audience ...	Students work in teams on task of project application writing and self- and peer-evaluation		30-40 h = 1.5 ECTS (approx. 30% of the course 4 ECTS)



Assessment tasks

Assessment task	Percentage of total grade
Tasks in the classroom and online LMS (Moodle)	17
Essay (writing + peer-assessment + artefact +journal writing)	9+3+2+1=15
Tasks in computer labs	8
Project application writing, presentation and self and peer assessment	30
Tests (2 x)	30
Total	100



Peer assessment exercise I

Activity	Type of work	Help	Duration	Result
Short essay writing	Individual	Instructions about good essay writing given before activity	2 weeks	Essay submitted on online (Moodle)
Peer assessment of essays	Each student assess three essays	Assessment rubrics, criteria and instructions online	1 week	Peer review feedback online + comments on what student assessor learned
Mutual learning	Group work 3-4 with connected topics	Help provided in classroom (can be by webinar)	1 day	Key messages and interesting findings in form of working hints
Artefact – summary building	Group work 3-4 with connected topics	Recommendation with links provided online	2 weeks	Artefact that summarized topics submitted online – duration 5 minutes
Evaluation of activity and learning	Individual diary online	A few general questions given	1 day	Evaluation reports online


The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

- Essay grading by the following criteria (weight of criteria):
 - *C1 - Topic covering, soundness (3)*
 - *C2 - Essay structure (2)*
 - *C3 - Text formatting, pictures, graphs, examples (2)*
 - *C4 - Language and grammar (1)*
 - *C5 - Referencing (1)*
- Criteria and levels described in details
- Implemented in the **Moodle Workshop assessment package**
 - Students submit their work during the Workshop activity
 - Submissions assessed by teachers, students and their peers
 - Workshop allows multi-criteria assessment based on scoring rubrics
 - Students obtain two grades in a single Workshop activity
 - grade for their submission
 - grade for assessment



Evaluation of peer assessment by LA


Analysis of criteria Benchmark for a student



Reliability of peer assessment

Academic year	Average
2014/15 (n=62)	6.40/9
2013/14 (n=34)	(5.62/10) = 6.24/9
2012/2013 (n=35)	(6.11/10)=6.79/9

Average grade




Number of grades within the 2-point span	Number of grades that equal or exceed the 2-point span
51	11

85%

With the support of the
Lifelong Learning programme
of the European Union

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Peer assessment – student perspective



Peer assesment of essay and projects motivated me on new way of thinking and learning

Source: anonymous questionnaire at the end of the course; total no of answers 45 - out 62 that attend the class



With the support of the Lifelong Learning programme of the European Union

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Supported by:

<http://taloe.innovate4future.eu/>



[About TALOE Webtool](#) [Ask for Assessment Advice](#) [Writing Learning Outcomes](#) [Assessment Methods](#) [Case Studies](#) [Help](#)

About TALOE Webtool

Welcome to the TALOE webtool that will help you decide which e-assessment strategies to use in your online courses. The tool can be used in two ways:

- Check if the existing assessment methods in existing course are in line with the stated learning outcomes
- Help you make decisions on the most appropriate assessment method for the new course or module

The webtool consists of the matrix that aligns the six categories of the cognitive process dimension and relative cognitive processes with the six categories of the general assessment (based on the ALOA model) each with subcategories.

How to use the webtool

The TALOE webtool will guide you through two steps that will help you to better define your learning outcomes and to decide adequate assessment strategies for each learning outcome.

Step 1

During this stage you will be asked to describe the Learning Outcomes you want your students to achieve. Please keep in mind that the Learning Outcomes should be described in a clear way and kept simple. If you have difficulties with this stage, or you wish to learn more about how you can better write learning outcomes please go to the section [Writing Learning Outcomes](#).

Step 2

After defining your learning outcome you will be asked to choose the verb/verbs that best describe it.

Go through the process and receive the assessment advice for your course!

LLP international project

The specific goals of TALOE:

- Research and select innovative e-assessment practices that take advantage of the use of technology;
- Develop a web-based tool that is easy to use by the stakeholders;
- To test the implementation of the tool with real case studies;
- To distribute and disseminate the TALOE tool among the communities of stakeholders.

Project Coordinator
Universidade do Porto

+ 8 partners
publishing, but we do not constitute endorsement of the contents which cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the

- Research questions – case study:
 - RQ 1:** Peer assessment to be **reliable** and **valid** and at the same time enhance mutual learning?
 - Validity – constructive alignment; student’s self-evaluation; career tracking
 - Reliability – LA checks; metrics to measure reliability
 - RQ2:** **Student perception** about peer assessment, assessment standards and criteria and mutual learning activity?
 - Peer assessment + peer learning enhance opens new learning paths
 - RQ3:** Encouraging **deeper learning** by peer assessment?
 - Students describe deeper learning activities
- Further research
 - Peer assessment of complex non-structured tasks
 - Project, problem-solving
 - Development of metrics for reliability




Sveučilište u
Zagrebu

foi

Thank you

bdivjak@foi.hr



With the support of the
Lifelong Learning programme
of the European Union

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.